

**Doc. D2. CEG 2002 Update  
URIs in Fields 856 and 5XX**

**1. Implementing Uniform Resource Identifier Subfield in 520, 530, and 555 Note Fields**

★ **Question--Impact of subfield u in notes on use of field 856:** For CONSER records, it is field 530 that will be used most often. GPO uses the subfield \$u in 530 for the URL when a PURL is given in field 856. What should CONSER practice be in regard to use of the URL in 530?

- Avoid giving same URL in two places?
- Give in 530 only when using a PURL in 856?
- Give in both places?

**2. Implementing link text subfield \$y in field 856**

Subfield \$y provides display text that can be hotlinked to the URL in subfield \$u for public displays.

Example: 856 \$u <http://lcweb.loc.gov/acq/conser/consrln.html> \$y Available online

In public display: Available online.

★ **Question:** Does CONSER want/need to form any policy on how link text in subfield \$y may be used in 856 fields in CONSER records?

- The primary concern is local versus universal data. Is this a problem?
- How might we use subfield \$y to our advantage? (e.g., to name the aggregator?)